

FAMILY LAW
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
PROFESSOR C. ROGERSON
1988

FACULTY OF LAW



FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FAMILY LAW

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

PROFESSOR C. ROGERSON
1988

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Prepared with the assistance of Shauna Van Praagh




TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>I</u>	INTE	RODUCTION: THE FAMILY AND FAMILY LAW			
	1.	The Family as Basic Social Unit			
	2.	What is the Family? Definitions and Demographics			
		Eichler, Families in Canada Today	3		
		"Families in Transition", <u>Transition</u>	48		
		"Strong Family Ties", <u>Maclean's</u>	50		
	3.	The Family and Family Law: The Justification for Legal Intervention			
		Glendon, "The New Marriage and the New Property"	51		
		Eekelaar, Family Law and Social Policy	59		
		Minow, "Beyond State Intervention in the Family"	67		
	4.	The Institutional Framework: The Family Court			
		Zuber Commission, "Report of the Ontario Courts Inquiry"	73		
<u> </u>	MARE	RIAGE AND NULLITY			
	1.	The Legal Significance of Marriage			
		O'Donovan, "Legal Marriage - Who Needs It?"	85		
	2.	Validity of Marriage			
		(i) Requirement of Opposite Sexes			
		Adams and Sullivan v. Howerton	90		
		Anderson v. Luoma	94		
		Andrews v. Minister of Health, Ont	97		

III DIVORCE

	1.	The Social Context of Divorce		
		Hahlo, "The Changing Face of Marriage and Divorce"	99	
		"Divorce Statistics Not All That Bad", Globe and Mail	104	
		"Divorces Up a Whopping 26 per cent", Toronto Star	105	
		"New Law is Cited as Rate of Divorce Rises by 26 per cent", Globe and Mail	105	
	2.	Substantive Reform of Divorce Law: Grounds for Divorce		
		Amiel, "The Fault in No-Fault Divorce"	106	
		Weitzman, The Divorce Revolution	107	
	3.	Bars to Divorce: Prejudicial Effects on Children's Support		
		<u>R.D.F.</u> v. <u>S.L.F.</u>	112	
		Note - MacKinnon v. MacKinnon, Bergman v. Bergman, Schultz v. Schultz, Simpson v. Simpson.	115	
IV	THE	FAMILY AS AN ECONOMIC UNIT		
	1.	Women's Participation in the Labour Force		
		Boulet and Lavalée, The Changing Economic Status of Women	118	
		"Women's Rush to Work Force Called Striking", Globe and Mail	121	
		"High Growth Reported For Women in Labour Force", Toronto Star	122	
	2.	Women's Earnings		
		"Wage Gap Between Sexes Still Too Large", Toronto Star	123	
		"Men's Average Earnings Remain Far Higher Than Those of Women", Globe and Mail.	124	
		"Marriage Tied to Wage Gap Between Sexes", Toronto Star	124	

	3.	Women's Double Burden - Housework and Career	
		"Women Sacrifice Career Plans for Family", Globe and Mail	125
		"Study Finds Chores Not Shared", Globe and Mail	126
	4.	Family Breakdown	
		Maclean, "Households After Divorce"	127
		"The Changing Face of Poverty", Toronto Star	136
		"Poverty Rate of Ontario's Children", Globe and Mail	137
<u>V</u>	PROP	<u>ERTY</u>	
	1.	Trust Doctrine	
		Herman v. Smith	138
		Connors v. Connors	143
	2.	Matrimonial Property Legislation	
		Knetsch, "Some Economic Implications of Matrimonial Property Rules"	145
		Prager, "Shifting Perspectives on Marital Property Law"	154
		Eekelaar, "Adjustment on Divorce"	159
	3.	Ontario's New Property Legislation: The Family Law Act, 1986	
		(i) What is Property?	
		(a) <u>Continued Role of Trusts</u>	
		Rawluk v. Rawluk	166
		Note - Cox v. Cox, McDonald v. McDonald, <u>Hapichuk</u> v. <u>Hapichuk</u> .	169
		(b) Workers' Compensation Payments	
		Note - Kelly v. Kelly, Buske v. Buske	170

	(c)	Educational Degrees	171
		O'Brien v. O'Brien	172
		Caratun v. Caratun	178
		<u>Linton</u> v. <u>Linton</u>	191
		Selick, "Pitfalls in Professional Degree Valuation Issue".	206
	(d)	<u>Pensions</u>	
		Marsham v. Marsham	207
		<pre>Humphreys v. Humphreys (Note)</pre>	223
(ii)	Valu	<u>ation</u>	
(' ' ')		erson v. McPherson	224
	retii	erson v. Mernerson	66 7
(iii)	<u>Dedu</u>	ctions and Exclusions	
	<u>Jack</u>	son v. Jackson	229
	<u>Kuko</u>	li v. <u>Kukoli</u>	229
	<u>Mill</u>	er v. Miller	231
	Wolf Trus	son and Corbin, "Problems of Title and Constructive ts"	232
(iv)	<u>Depa</u>	rtures from 50-50 Sharing	
	Scot	<u>t</u> v. <u>Scott</u>	233
	LeB1	anc v. <u>LeBlanc</u>	236
	Note	- Jordan v. Jordan, Davies v. Davies	241

VI	SPOU	SAL SUPPORT	
	1.	Principles of Spousal Support	
		Deech, "Financial Relief"	242
		Land, "Changing Women's Claims to Maintenance"	244
		Abella, "Economic Adjustment on Marriage Breakdown: Support"	247
		Weitzman, "The Economics of Divorce"	252
	2.	Dependency Caused by the Relationship (Causal Connection)	
		Bebakhee v. Bebakhee	253
		Note: Winterle v. Winterle Fisher v. Fisher Schroeder v. Schroeder Williams v. Williams Duff v. Duff Willms v. Willms Smith v. Smith Marquis v. Marquis Madill v. Madill	258 258 259 259 259 263 263 263
	3.	Self-Sufficiency and Compensatory Support	
		Richards v. Richards	274
		Jull v. Jull	275
		Karlovcec v. Karlovcec	278
		Pennington v. Pennington	281
		Rednall v. Rednall	285
		<u>Linton</u> v. <u>Linton</u>	291
VII	CHIL	D SUPPORT	
	1.	Establishing a Parent-Child Relationship	
		(a) Extended Definition of the Relationship	

Primeau v. Primeau

292

	2.	Principles of Child Support			
		Note - Kurt v. Kurt, Smith v. Smith	299		
		Friesen v. Friesen	300		
		<u>Twaddle</u> v. <u>Twaddle</u>	304		
		Note - Brownell v. Brownell, Casement v. Casement	307		
3.	Bala	ncing Support Obligations Between First and Second Families			
		Eekelaar, "Maintenance and Children"	308		
		Maclean, "Households after Divorce"	313		
		Sawchyn v. Sawchyn	314		
VIII	DOME:	STIC CONTRACTS			
	Balfour v. Balfour				
	Mnoo	kin, "Divorce Bargaining: The Limits on Private Ordering"	317		
	<u>Note</u>	- <u>Fyffe</u> v. <u>Fyffe</u> , <u>Marshall</u> v. <u>Marshall</u> , <u>Leman</u> v. <u>Leman</u> , <u>Desmarais</u> v. <u>Desmarais</u>	321		
ΙX	CHILI	CUSTODY AND ACCESS IN THE CONTEXT OF DIVORCE			
	1.	Overview of the Issues			
		Folberg, "Custody Overview"	324		
	2.	The Social Science Perspective on Custody			
		Maidment, "The Matrimonial Causes Act, s. 41	330		
		Goldstein, Freud, Solnit, <u>Beyond the Best Interests</u> of the Child	344		

3.	Judicial	Resolution of Custody Disputes: The Principles		
	(i)	Status Quo (De Facto Situation)		
		Novic v. Novic	351	
		Sherrett v. Sherrett	356	
	(ii)	General Principles: Tender Years		
		Talsky v. Talsky	358	
		<u>R.</u> v. <u>R.</u>	363	
		Bendle v. Bendle	375	
		Yablonski v. Yablonski	380	
		Klachefsky v. Brown	385	
		<u>Harden</u> v. <u>Harden</u>	391	
	(iii)	Conduct		
		Cooney v. Cooney	394	
		Fishback v. Fishback	398	
		Bell v. Kirk	404	
4.	Reforming	Custody Determination		
	(i)	Joint Custody		
		Folberg, "Joint Custody"	407	
		"Parents March Over Custody", Globe and Mail	411	
		Parsons v. Parsons	412	
		"Joint Custody Viable Option", Globe and Mail	415	
		Kamimura v. Squibb	416	

			Bill 95, An Act to Amend the Children's Law Reform Act	421
			"MPP calls custody laws sanctioned 'child abuse'", Toronto Star.	425
			"MPP's plan on custody is assailed", Globe and Mail.	425
	5.	Access		
		(i)	Restrictions on Mobility	
			"Mother forbidden to move children too far from father", Globe and Mail	426
			Blois v. Blois	427
			Rutherford v. Rutherford	431
		(ii)	Denial of Access	
			Bourdon v. Casselman	435
			Frame v. Smith	441
		(iii)	Grandparents' Access	
			Foster and Freed, "The Child's Right to Visit Grandparents"	447
			Tramble v. Hill	452
<u>X</u>	CHIL	D CUSTODY	AND ACCESS DISPUTES OUTSIDE THE DIVORCE CONTEXT	
	1.	Custody		
		<u>Racine</u> v.	<u>Woods</u>	456
		Vignaux-F	ines v. Chardon	465
	2.	Access		
		Lapp v. D	upuis	469

ΥŢ	ADUPTION			
	1.	Access After Adoption		
		Silk v Silk	478	

